FIAN/TD/97-17

3-particle Calogero Model: Supertraces and Ideals on the Algebra of Observables

S.E.Konstein *†

I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics,
P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
117924, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia.

arXiv:hep-th/9803213v1 25 Mar 1998

Abstract

The associative superalgebra of observables of 3-particle Calogero model giving all wavefunctions of the model via standard Fock procedure has 2 independent supertraces. It is shown here that when the coupling constant ν is equal to $n + 1/3$, $n - 1/3$ or $n + 1/2$ for any integer n the existence of 2 independent supertraces leads to existence of nontrivial two-sided ideal in the superalgebra of observables.

1 Introduction

Three-particle Calogero model is a convenient object for investigation of some properties of N-particle Calogero models [1], as it has many features which distinguish multiparticle systems from one-particle ones, but still remains an easy problem admitting separation of variables [2].

It is well known that after some similarity transformation the Hamiltonian of Calogero model attains the form

$$H_{Cal} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - x_i^2 + \nu\sum_{j\neq i}\frac{2}{x_i - x_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right] \tag{1}$$

which coincides with the operator

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\{a_i^0,\, a_i^1\right\} \tag{2}$$

*E-mail: konstein@td.lpi.ac.ru

†This work was supported by the Russian Basic Research Foundation, grant 96-01-01144 and grant 96-15-96463.

on the space of symmetric functions. In this expression the annihilation and creation operators a_i^α ($\alpha = 0,\ 1$) are expressed through the differential-difference Dunkl operators $D_i(x)$ [3]

$$a_i^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i + (-1)^\alpha D_i(x)),\ \text{where}\ D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \nu \sum_{l\neq i}^{N} \frac{1}{x_i - x_l}(1 - K_{il}), \tag{3}$$

and $K_{ij} = K_{ji}$ are the operators of elementary transpositions

$$K_{ij}x_i = x_j K_{ij}, \quad K_{ij}x_k = x_k K_{ij}\ \text{if}\ k \neq i\ \text{and}\ k \neq j. \tag{4}$$

The operators a_i^α satisfy the following commutation relations

$$\left[a_i^\alpha\ ,\ a_j^\beta\right] = \epsilon^{\alpha\,\beta}\left(\delta_{ij} + \nu\delta_{ij}\sum_{l=1,\,l\neq i}^{N} K_{il} - \nu\delta_{i\neq j}K_{ij}\right),$$

where $\epsilon^{\alpha\,\beta} = -\epsilon^{\beta\,\alpha}$, $\epsilon^{0\,1} = 1$, which lead ([5]) to the relations

$$[H,\ a_i^\alpha] = -(-1)^\alpha a_i^\alpha,$$

and, therefore, a_i^1 when acting on the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ such that $a_j^0|0\rangle = 0$ for any j, give all the wavefunctions of the model (2) and, consequently, of the model (1). In [6] Perelomov operators [7], describing the wavefunctions of the model (1) are expressed via these operators a_i^α.

Let $SH_N(\nu)$ be the associative superalgebra of all polynomials in the operators K_{ij} and a_i^α (3), with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading π defined by the formula: $\pi(a_i^\alpha) = 1$, $\pi(1) = \pi(K_{ij}) = 0$. The superalgebra $SH_N(\nu)$ is called *the algebra of observables*. It is clear that $SH_N(\nu) = SH_1(0) \otimes SH'_N(\nu)$, where $SH_1(0)$ is generated by the operators $a^\alpha = \sum_i a_i^\alpha/\sqrt{N}$ satisfying the commutation relations $[a^\alpha, a^\beta] = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$, while $SH'_N(\nu)$ is generated by the transpositions K_{ij} and by all linear combinations of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i^\alpha$ with coefficients λ_i satisfying the condition $\sum_i \lambda_i = 0$.

The group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_N]$ of the permutation group S_N, generated by elementary transpositions K_{ij} is a subalgebra of $SH'_N(\nu)$.

The group S_N acts trivially on the space of wavefunctions of Calogero model (1), but for every nontrivial representation of S_N there exists a matrix version of the Calogero model where this representation is realized [8].

The *supertrace* on an arbitrary associative superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ is complex-valued linear function $str(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the condition

$$str(fg) = (-1)^{\pi(f)\pi(g)} str(gf) \tag{5}$$

for every $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ with definite parity.

It is proven in [9] that $SH_N(\nu)$ has nontrivial supertraces and the dimension of the space of the supertraces on $SH_N(\nu)$ is equal to the number of partitions of N into the sum of positive odd integers. In particular, $SH_2(\nu)$ has only one supertrace and $SH_3(\nu)$ has two independent supertraces. Since $SH_N(\nu) = SH_1(0) \otimes SH'_N(\nu)$ and $SH_1(0)$ has one

supertrace, it follows that the numbers of supertraces on $SH_N(\nu)$ and on $SH'_N(\nu)$ are the same.

Knowing the supertraces on $SH'_N(\nu)$ is useful in different respects. One of the most important applications is that these define the multilinear invariant forms

$$str(f_1 f_2 ... f_n),$$

which makes it possible, for example, to construct the Lagrangians of dynamical theories based on these algebras. In particular, every supertrace defines the bilinear form

$$B_{str}(f,\, g) = str(fg). \tag{6}$$

Since the complete set of null-vectors of every invariant bilinear form constitutes the two-sided ideal of the algebra, the supertrace is a good tool for finding such ideals. In the well known case of $SH'_2(\nu)$ corresponding to the usual two-particle Calogero model the only supertrace defines the bilinear form (6) which degenerates when ν is half-integer [10], hence, the superalgebra $SH'_2(\nu)$ has an ideal for these values of ν. When $N \geq 3$ the situation is more complicated as $SH'_N(\nu)$ has more than one supertrace. In the case of finitedimensional superalgebra this would be sufficient for existence of ideals but for infinitedimensional superalgebras under consideration the existence of ideals is not completely investigated yet.

Here I show that in the superalgebra $SH'_3(\nu)$ with $\nu = n \pm 1/3$ or $\nu = n + 1/2$ there exists one supertrace with degenerated corresponding bilinear form (6) and that for the other values of ν all the bilinear forms corresponding to supertraces are non-degenerate. It should be noticed that the existence of supertraces depends on the choice of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading while the existence of an ideal does not depend on the grading. Still open are the problems, what the quotient algebras are (in the case of $SH_2(\nu)$ for $\nu = n + 1/2$ these are Mat_n) and if there exist ideals of another type.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the generating elements of associative superalgebra $SH'_3(\nu)$ are presented together with the relations between them. The equation for generating functions of arbitrary supertrace on $SH'_3(\nu)$ are derived and solved in Section 3, and in the last Section all values of ν, such that $SH'_3(\nu)$ has the ideal generated by the zeroes of the bilinear form corresponding to the supertrace are found.

2 The algebra $SH'_3(\nu)$

In this Section we present the generating elements of associative superalgebra $SH'_3(\nu)$ and relations between them.

Let $\lambda = exp(2\pi i/3)$. As a basis in $\mathbb{C}\,[S_3]$ let us choose the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &= \frac{1}{3}(\lambda^k K_{12} + K_{23} + \lambda^{-k} K_{31}), \\ Q_k &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + \lambda^k K_{12} K_{13} + \lambda^{-k} K_{12} K_{23}), \\ & L_\pm \stackrel{def}{=} L_{\pm 1}, \quad Q_\pm \stackrel{def}{=} Q_{\pm 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of the generating elements a_i^α let us introduce the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} x^\alpha &= a_1^\alpha + \lambda a_2^\alpha + \lambda^2 a_3^\alpha, \quad x \stackrel{def}{=} x^0, \quad x^+ \stackrel{def}{=} x^1, \\ y^\alpha &= a_1^\alpha + \lambda^2 a_2^\alpha + \lambda a_3^\alpha, \quad y \stackrel{def}{=} y^0, \quad y^+ \stackrel{def}{=} y^1, \end{aligned}$$

which are derived from a_i^α by subtracting the center of mass $a^\alpha = \dfrac{1}{3}\sum\limits_{i=1,2,3} a_i^\alpha$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ of inner automorphisms of $SH_3'(\nu)$ is generated by the generators $T^{\alpha\beta}$

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{3}(x^\alpha y^\beta + x^\beta y^\alpha). \tag{7}$$

These generators satisfy the usual commutation relations

$$[T^{\alpha\beta}, T^{\gamma\delta}] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}T^{\beta\delta} + \epsilon^{\alpha\delta}T^{\beta\gamma} + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma}T^{\alpha\delta} + \epsilon^{\beta\delta}T^{\alpha\gamma}\,, \tag{8}$$

and act on generating elements x^α and y^α as follows:

$$\left[T^{\alpha\beta},\, x^\gamma\right] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}x^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma}x^\alpha\,, \qquad \left[T^{\alpha\beta},\, y^\gamma\right] = \epsilon^{\alpha\gamma}y^\beta + \epsilon^{\beta\gamma}y^\alpha \tag{9}$$

leaving the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ invariant: $\left[T^{\alpha\beta},\, K_{ij}\right] = 0$.

Clearly, $SH_3'(\nu)$ decomposes into the infinite direct sum of finitedimensional irreducible representations of this $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Every subspace of the space $SH_3'(\nu)$ where $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (7) acts non-trivially and irreducibly consists of linear combinations of the vectors of the form $[f,\, T^{\alpha\beta}]$. So every supertrace vanishes on this subspace and has non-trivial values only on the associative subalgebra $H_3^0(\nu) \subset SH_3'(\nu)$ of all $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-singlets.

Let $m = \frac{1}{4}\{x^\alpha,\, y_\alpha\}$. Clearly, m is a singlet under the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (7); m can also be expressed in the form

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\left(x^\alpha y_\alpha + 3 + 9\nu L_0\right) \text{ or, equivalently,} \tag{10}$$

$$m = \frac{1}{2}\left(y_\alpha x^\alpha - 3 - 9\nu L_0\right). \tag{11}$$

In these formulas the greek indices are lowered and rised with the help of the antisymmetric tensor $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$: $a^\alpha = \sum_\beta \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}a_\beta$. Obviously, all the other singlets in $H_3^0(\nu)$ are polynomials in m with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$.

The generating elements x^α, y^α, Q_i, L_i and the element m satisfy the following relations:

$$L_iL_j = \delta_{i+j}Q_j, \qquad L_iQ_j = \delta_{i-j}L_j, \tag{12}$$

$$Q_iL_j = \delta_{i+j}L_j, \qquad Q_iQ_j = \delta_{i-j}Q_j, \tag{13}$$

where $\delta_i = \delta_{i\,0}$,

$$L_ix^\alpha = y^\alpha L_{i+1}, \qquad L_iy^\alpha = x^\alpha L_{i-1}, \tag{14}$$

$$Q_ix^\alpha = x^\alpha Q_{i+1}, \qquad Q_iy^\alpha = y^\alpha Q_{i-1}, \tag{15}$$

$$L_im = -mL_i, \qquad Q_im = mQ_i, \tag{16}$$

$$\left[x,\, x^+\right] = -9\nu L_{+1}, \qquad \left[y,\, y^+\right] = -9\nu L_{-1}, \qquad \left[y,\, x^+\right] = \left[x,\, y^+\right] = 3 + 9\nu L_0, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [m,\, x^\alpha] &= \frac{3}{2}\left(x^\alpha + 3\nu x^\alpha L_0 + 3\nu L_0 x^\alpha\right), \\ [m,\, y^\alpha] &= -\frac{3}{2}\left(y^\alpha + 3\nu y^\alpha L_0 + 3\nu L_0 y^\alpha\right), \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

from which the relations

$$mQ_+x^\alpha Q_- = Q_+x^\alpha Q_-\left(m + \frac{3}{2}\right), \tag{19}$$

$$mQ_-y^\alpha Q_+ = Q_-y^\alpha Q_+\left(m - \frac{3}{2}\right), \tag{20}$$

$$mQ_-x^\alpha Q_0 = Q_-x^\alpha Q_0\left(m + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\nu L_0\right), \tag{21}$$

$$mQ_+y^\alpha Q_0 = Q_+y^\alpha Q_0\left(m - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{9}{2}\nu L_0\right), \tag{22}$$

$$Q_0x^\alpha Q_+m = \left(m - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{9}{2}\nu L_0\right)Q_0x^\alpha Q_+, \tag{23}$$

$$Q_0y^\alpha Q_-m = \left(m + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{9}{2}\nu L_0\right)Q_0y^\alpha Q_- \tag{24}$$

follow.

It was shown in [9] that every supertrace on $SH_3'(\nu)$ is completely determined by its values on $\mathbb{C}[S_3] \subset SH_3'(\nu)$, i.e., by the values $str(1)$, $str(K_{12}) = str(K_{23}) = str(K_{31})$ and $str(K_{12}K_{23}) = str(K_{12}K_{13})$, which are consistent with (5) and admit the extension of the supertrace from $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$ to $SH_3'(\nu)$ in a unique way, if and only if

$$str(K_{ij}) = \nu(-2str(1) - str(K_{12}K_{23})). \tag{25}$$

It was noticed above that only trivial representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ can contribute to any supertrace on $SH_3'(\nu)$. Therefore, it suffices to find the restrictions of the supertraces on $H_3^0(\nu)$.

3 Generating functions

The equations for generating functions of arbitrary supertrace are derived and solved in this section.

Let us introduce *the generating functions*:

$$F(\xi) = str\left(\mathrm{sh}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m\right)(Q_+ - Q_-)\right), \tag{26}$$

$$\Phi(\xi) = str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m\right)(Q_+ + Q_-)\right), \tag{27}$$

$$\Psi(\xi) = str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\xi\sqrt{\frac{4}{9}m^2 + 9\nu^2}\right)Q_0\right), \tag{28}$$

which completely describe any supertrace $str(\cdot)$ on $SH'_3(\nu)$. Indeed, it follows from (16) and (5) that the identities $str(m^k L_i) = str(m^{k-1} L_i m) = -str(m^k L_i)$ take place for $k > 0$ and, therefore, $str(f(m)L_i) = f(0)str(L_i)$. Further on, from $str(m^k Q_0) = str(m^k L_0^2) = str(L_0 m^k L_0) = (-1)^k str(m^k L_0^2)$ it follows that $str(\mathrm{sh}(\xi m)Q_0) = 0$. Analogously, $str(\mathrm{sh}(2/3\xi m)(Q_+ + Q_-)) = 0$ and $str(\mathrm{ch}(2/3\xi m)(Q_+ - Q_-)) = 0$.

The equations for generating functions.

One can obtain two equations for generating functions of supertrace by differentiating the definition (26) and two more equations by differentiating (27). To derive the first equation one has to use the expression (10) for m in the term mQ_+ and (11) in the term mQ_-. To derive the second equation one has to use the expression (11) for m in the term mQ_+ and (10) in the term mQ_-. The first equation has the following form

$$F'(\xi) = \frac{2}{3} str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^\alpha y_\alpha + 3 + 9\nu L_0\right)Q_+ - \frac{1}{2}\left(y_\alpha x^\alpha - 3 - 9\nu L_0\right)Q_-\right)\right),$$

which with the help of (27), (12), (15), (15), (19), (20) and the defining properties of supertraces (5) can be reduced to

$$F'(\xi) = \Phi(\xi) - \frac{1}{3} str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m + \xi\right) y_\alpha x^\alpha Q_- - \mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m - \xi\right) x^\alpha y_\alpha Q_+\right). \tag{29}$$

By ubstituting in (29) the expressions for $x^\alpha y_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha x^\alpha$ in terms of m obtained from (10) and (11), respectively, and decomposing the expressions $\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m \pm \xi\right)$, one can easily obtain the equation desired:

$$\Phi = F' + (\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\Phi)' - (\mathrm{ch}\xi\,F)'. \tag{30}$$

To derive the second equation we start with

$$F'(\xi) = \frac{2}{3} str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(y_\alpha x^\alpha - 3 - 9\nu L_0\right)Q_+ - \frac{1}{2}\left(x^\alpha y_\alpha + 3 + 9\nu L_0\right)Q_-\right)\right).$$

With the help of (22), (21), (12), and (5) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
F'(\xi) &= -\Phi(\xi) - \frac{1}{3} str\left(\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m - \xi - 3\nu\xi L_0\right) x^\alpha y_\alpha Q_0 - \mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m + \xi + 3\nu\xi L_0\right) y_\alpha x^\alpha Q_0\right) \\
&= -\Phi - \frac{1}{3} str\Bigg(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m - 3\nu\xi L_0\right)(2m - 3 - 9\nu L_0)Q_0 \\
&\quad -\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m - 3\nu\xi L_0\right)(2m - 3 - 9\nu L_0)Q_0 \\
&\quad -\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\mathrm{ch}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m + 3\nu\xi L_0\right)(2m + 3 + 9\nu L_0)Q_0 \\
&\quad -\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi m + 3\nu\xi L_0\right)(2m + 3 + 9\nu L_0)Q_0\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

From $mL_0 = -L_0 m$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ch}(\alpha m + \beta L_0)Q_0 &= \left(\mathrm{ch}\sqrt{\alpha^2 m^2 + \beta^2}\right) Q_0\,, \\
\mathrm{sh}(\alpha m + \beta L_0)Q_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 m^2 + \beta^2}}\left(\mathrm{sh}\sqrt{\alpha^2 m^2 + \beta^2}\right)(\alpha m + \beta L_0)Q_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which allows us to rewrite equation (31) in the following form

$$F' = -\Phi + 2\left(\mathrm{sh}\xi\, str\left(\mathrm{ch}\sqrt{(\frac{2}{3}\xi m)^2 + (3\nu\xi)^2}\right) Q_0\right)' + 2(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)\, str L_0)'.$$

The second pair of equations is derived in a similar way.

Finally, one obtains the following system of equations

$$F' = -\Phi + 2\left(\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\Psi\right)' + 2(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)\, str L_0)'\,, \tag{32}$$

$$\Phi' = -F - 2\left(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\Psi\right)' - 2(\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)\, str L_0)'\,, \tag{33}$$

$$\Phi = F' + \left(\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\Phi\right)' - \left(\mathrm{ch}\xi\, F\right)'\,, \tag{34}$$

$$F = \Phi' + \left(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\Phi\right)' - \left(\mathrm{sh}\xi\, F\right)'\,, \tag{35}$$

(where the equations (34) and (35) are equivalent) with the following initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= 0\,, \\ \Phi(0) &= str(Q_+ + Q_-)\,, \\ \Psi(0) &= str(Q_0)\,. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to find three integrals of motion for this system. To find the first one, it suffices to subtract (34) from (32), to find the second one, to subtract (35) from (33). To find the third one, one considers the linear combination of equations $\mathrm{sh}\xi$ (32)+$\mathrm{ch}\xi$ (33).

The result of these actions has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(F\left(2-\mathrm{ch}\xi\right) + \Phi\,\mathrm{sh}\xi + \Psi\left(-2\mathrm{sh}\xi\right)\right)' &= 2(\mathrm{ch}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi) str(L_0))'\,, \\ \left(F\left(-\mathrm{sh}\xi\right) + \Phi\left(2+\mathrm{ch}\xi\right) + \Psi\left(2\mathrm{ch}\xi\right)\right)' &= -2(\mathrm{sh}\xi\,\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi) str(L_0))'\,, \\ \left(F\,\mathrm{sh}\xi + \Phi\,\mathrm{ch}\xi + 2\Psi\right)' &= -2\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi) str(L_0)\,. \end{aligned}$$

The solution.

The solution of the obtained system of equations in the terms of generating functions more convenient for further consideration is of the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ \stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{2/3\xi m} Q_{+1}) = \frac{P_+}{\Delta},\ \text{where}$$

$$P_+ = 2\nu S_1\left(\frac{\mathrm{ch}(3\nu\xi)}{3\nu}\left(-e^{2\xi} + 2e^{-\xi}\right) + \mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)\left(e^{2\xi} + e^{-\xi}\right)\right) + \frac{S_2}{2}\left(e^{-2\xi} - 2e^{\xi} + 3\right), \tag{36}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_- \stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{2/3\xi m} Q_{-1}) = \frac{P_-}{\Delta},\ \text{where}$$

$$P_- = 2\nu S_1\left(\frac{\mathrm{ch}(3\nu\xi)}{3\nu}\left(-e^{-2\xi} + 2e^{\xi}\right) - \mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)\left(e^{-2\xi} + e^{\xi}\right)\right) + \frac{S_2}{2}\left(e^{2\xi} - 2e^{-\xi} + 3\right), \tag{37}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0^{\pm} \stackrel{def}{=} str(\mathrm{ch}(\xi\sqrt{4/9m^2 + 9\nu^2}(Q_0 \pm L_0)) = \frac{P_0}{\Delta} \pm \nu S_1 \mathrm{ch}(3\nu\xi),\ \text{where}$$

$$P_0 = 2\nu S_1\left(-3\frac{\mathrm{ch}(3\nu\xi)}{3\nu} + \frac{\mathrm{sh}(3\nu\xi)}{2}\left(-e^{3\xi} + e^{-3\xi}\right)\right) + \frac{S_2}{2}\left(e^{2\xi} + e^{-2\xi} - 2e^{\xi} - 2e^{-\xi}\right), \tag{38}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_0 \stackrel{def}{=} str(sh(\xi m)Q_0) = 0,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_\pm \stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{2/3\xi m}L_{\pm 1}) = 0,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_0 \stackrel{def}{=} str(e^{2/3\xi m}L_0) = \nu S_1.$$

Here $\Delta = exp(-3\xi)(exp(3\xi)+1)^2$ and S_1, S_2 are arbitrary parameters determining the supertrace in the two-dimensional space of supertraces:

$$S_1 = -2str(1) - str(K_{12}K_{23}), \qquad S_2 = \frac{8}{3}str(1) - \frac{2}{3}str(K_{12}K_{23}).$$

4 Ideals

It can be easily shown that if some non-trivial ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset SH_3'(\nu)$ has nonzero intersection with $H_3^0(\nu)$ (which is an ideal in $H_3^0(\nu)$), then $\mathcal{I}$ has nonzero intersection at least with one of the subspaces in $H_3^0(\nu)$ consisting either of the elements of the form $\{Q_\pm f(m)\}$ (cases $P_\pm$) or of the elements of the form $\{(Q_0 \pm L_0)f(m)\}$ (case P_0).

First, let us look for elements of the ideal of the form $\{Q_+ f(m)\}$, i.e., look for polynomial functions f such that

$$f\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\xi}\right)\mathcal{Q}_+ = 0.$$

If such nontrivial function f does exist, then (36) is a linear combination of exponents

$$\mathcal{Q}_+ = \sum_i p_i e^{b_i \xi},$$

where each p_i is a polynomial in ξ.

It follows from (36) that p_i's are constants and that the above presentation exists if and only if all zeroes of the function $\Delta(\xi)$ are zeroes of $P_+(\xi)$, i.e.,

$$P_+((2k+1)\pi i/3) = 0 \qquad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{39}$$
$$P_+{}'((2k+1)\pi i/3) = 0 \qquad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{40}$$

The second condition reflects the fact that all zeroes of the function Δ are of multiplicity 2.

Conditions (40) are identically satisfied for all values of S_1, S_2 and ν. The conditions (39) lead to the system of equations

$$\frac{S_1}{3}\cos((2k+1)\pi\nu) + \frac{S_2}{2}\cos((2k+1)\pi/3) = 0 \qquad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{41}$$

which has nontrivial solutions for S_1 and S_2 if and only if

$$\cos((2k+1)\pi\nu)\cos((2l+1)\pi/3) = \cos((2l+1)\pi\nu)\cos((2k+1)\pi/3)$$

for any integer k and l. The case $l = 3$, $k = 2$ gives $\cos(7\pi\nu) - \cos(5\pi\nu) = 0$ and, therefore, $\nu = s/6$, where s is an integer. To determine s, consider two cases: k, l and $k+3$, l. The immediate consequence of these cases is

$$(\cos(s\pi) - 1)\cos((2k+1)s\pi/6) = 0 \qquad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, either $s = 2n$, or for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $(2k+1)s = 6n+3$ i.e., $s = 6l+3$ for an integer l.

Finally, the subspace consisting of the elements of the form $\{Q_+ f(m)\}$ (case P_+) is nontrivial in $\mathcal{I}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= n \pm \frac{1}{3}, \qquad \frac{S_1}{3} = -(-1)^n \frac{S_2}{2} \quad \text{or} \\ \nu &= n + \frac{1}{2}, \qquad S_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

with n integer.

The cases P_- and P_0 give the same values of ν, S_1 and S_2.

The other values of ν.

It is proved above that the subalgebra of singlets $H_3^0(\nu) \subset SH_3'(\nu)$ contains an ideal generated by a supertrace if and only if $\nu = n \pm 1/3$ or $\nu = n + 1/2$. If $H_3^0(\nu)$ contains such an ideal the latter can be extended to a nontrivial ideal in $SH_3'(\nu)$ in an obvious way. It will be proved in this section that if all bilinear forms generated by supertraces $str(f \cdot g)$ are non-degenerate on the subalgebra $H_3^0(\nu)$, then they are non-degenerate on the superalgebra $SH_3'(\nu)$ too, i.e., that for all the other values of ν there are no ideals generated by supertraces in the superalgebra $SH_3'(\nu)$.

To this end it suffices to prove that none of the following systems of equations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} M_{ij}^n p_j = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{43}$$

for $p_j \in H_3^0(\nu)$ has non-trivial solutions. The matrix elements M^n in system (43) are

$$M_{ij}^n = \left(x^i y^{n-i} (x^+)^{n-j} (y^+)^j \right)_0, \tag{44}$$

where the subscript 0 singles out the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-singlet part $(f)_0$ from the polynomial f.

Clearly, the elements of the matrix M^n are the polynomial in m of degree not greater than n with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[S_3]$: $M_{ij}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{ij}^k m^k$, $c_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{C}[S_3]$, $c_{ij}^n \in \mathbb{C}$. It is also obvious that non-degeneracy of the matrix $\tilde{M}^n$ with elements $(\tilde{M}^n)_{ij} = c_{ij}^n$ leads to the absence of nontrivial solutions of (43).

Let us find the coefficients c_{ij}^n. Since, up to polynomials in x and y of lesser degrees, m^n is a linear combination of the monomials of the form $x^i y^{n-i} (x^+)^{n-i} (y^+)^i$, it follows that $c_{ij}^n = 0$ for $i \neq j$. To find c_{ii}^n, let us observe that $xy^+ \simeq \frac{3}{2} T^{01} - m$ and $x^+ y \simeq \frac{3}{2} T^{01} + m$. Here the sign $\simeq$ is used to denote the equality up to polynomials of lesser degrees. Hence, $M_{ii}^n \simeq (3/2)^n \left((T^{01} - \frac{2}{3} m)^i (T^{01} + \frac{2}{3} m)^{n-i} \right)_0$.

It will be proved below that if $f(m, T^{01})$ is an arbitrary polynomial, then

$$\left(f(m, T^{01}) \right)_0 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left(f(m, \frac{2}{3} m\tau) + f(m, -\frac{2}{3} m\tau) \right) d\tau. \tag{45}$$

It follows immediately from (45) that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ii}^n \simeq \frac{1}{2} (\frac{2}{3} m)^n \int_0^1 \left((\tau - 1)^i (\tau + 1)^{n-i} + (-\tau - 1)^i (-\tau + 1)^{n-i} \right) d\tau = \\ \frac{(-1)^i}{2} (\frac{2}{3} m)^n \int_0^1 \left((1 - \tau)^i (1 + \tau)^{n-i} + (1 + \tau)^i (1 - \tau)^{n-i} \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and, as a consequence, $c^n_{ii} \neq 0$ $(i = 0, ..., n)$, which, together with $c^n_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ yields the non-degeneracy of $\tilde{M}$ i.e., the absence of nontrivial solutions of (43).

To prove (45), it is sufficient to consider the case $f(m, T^{01}) = t^k$, where t denotes the expression T^{01}.

It follows from the sequence of the obvious equalities

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\left[T^{11}, \left[T^{00}, t^k\right]\right]\right)_0 \simeq \left(\left[T^{11}, 2kT^{00}t^{k-1}\right]\right)_0 \\ &\simeq \left(-4k(k-1)t^{k-2}T^{00}T^{11} - 8kt^k\right)_0 \simeq \left(-4k(k-1)t^{k-2}(9t^2 - 4m^2) - 8kt^k\right)_0 \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\left(t^k\right)_0 \simeq \frac{4}{9}m^2\frac{k-1}{k+1}\left(t^{k-2}\right)_0 \simeq \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{k+1}\left(\dfrac{2}{3}m\right)^k = \displaystyle\int_0^1 \left(\frac{2}{3}m\tau\right)^k d\tau & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

which finishes the proof.

Acknowledgments

The author is very grateful to M. Vasiliev for useful discussions.

References

[1] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys., **10** (1969) 2191, 2197; *ibid*, **12** (1971) 419.

[2] M. A.Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep., **94** (1983) 313.

[3] C.F.Dunkl, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **311** (1989) 167.

[4] A. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69** (1992) 703.

[5] L. Brink, H. Hansson and M.A. Vasiliev, Phys. Lett., **B286** (1992) 109.

[6] L. Brink, H. Hansson, S.E. Konstein and M.A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys., **B401** (1993) 591.

[7] A. M. Perelomov, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **6** (1971) 364.

[8] O.V.Dodlov, S.E.Konstein and M.A. Vasiliev, JETP Letters, **58** (1993) 855.

[9] S.E. Konstein and M.A. Vasiliev, J. Math. Phys., **37** (1996) 2872.

[10] M.A. Vasiliev, JETP Letters, **50** (1989) 344; Int. J. Mod. Phys., **A6** (1991) 1115.